Stakeholder Response Form
CRG Product Testing

Please complete one response form per consultation document that you wish to provide comments on.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>18/10/18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondent’s Name</td>
<td>Emma Cooper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent’s Organisation</td>
<td>The Royal College of Radiologists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replying on behalf of organisation?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document responding to:</td>
<td>Low-energy contact x-ray therapy (Papillon technique) in the treatment of early stage rectal cancer (Adults)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant CRG</td>
<td>Radiotherapy CRG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is proposed that highly specialised products will go for period of public consultation. Please select the consultation level that you consider to be most appropriate.

2 - up to 12 weeks consultation to include some additional proactive engagement activities during the live consultation period

Do you have any further comments on the proposed changes to the document?

1. YES
We are surprised and disappointed that this consultation has produced a different conclusion to the 2015 NICE review. This seems to be because some evidence evaluated by NICE was not considered in the NHSE review, not because new data contradicting the NICE assessment has been published. This is confusing for both our members and fellows and for patients. We strongly support collaboration between CRUK / NHSE / NIHR / CTRad and others so that evidence reviews performed by different bodies can follow agreed standard methods, avoiding future conflicts in recommendations. We ask that the following evidence included in the NICE review is also considered by NHSE:


We also ask that the following papers not included in the NHSE review are considered:


- Frin AC, Evesque L et al. 2017. Organ or sphincter preservation for rectal cancer. The role of
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