

11.

Prostate cancer

Background

Early prostate cancer is being diagnosed more frequently because of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) screening. This change in natural history poses new management opportunities and external-beam radiotherapy (EBRT) is only one of several options, which include active surveillance and monitoring, radical surgery and brachytherapy.

Hormonal therapy and radiation dose

There is Grade A evidence in favour of neoadjuvant or adjuvant androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) for patients with intermediate or high-risk (PSA >10 or Gleason score >7 or T2C–T3) prostate cancer treated with radical radiotherapy, although with the likelihood of significant toxicity reducing quality of life.¹ A systematic review of 14 randomised phase III clinical trials showed benefit which increases as the risk factors of stage, PSA and Gleason score increase.² The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines recommend six months of ADT for intermediate-risk patients, which may be extended for up to three years in high-risk localised prostate cancer.³

There are now five randomised dose escalation studies which have demonstrated superior biochemical relapse-free survival (bRFS) with doses from 74–80 Gray (Gy) compared to lower doses. As yet, however, this has not translated into an overall survival advantage.^{4–8}

Fractionation

A full discussion of the radiobiology of prostate cancer is outside of the remit of this guideline. There is consistent evidence from large retrospective series to support the hypothesis that prostate cancer has a low $\alpha\beta$ ratio.^{9,10} Hypofractionation, using fraction sizes >2 Gy per day, may therefore be radiobiologically advantageous.

Conventional fractionation (doses-per-fraction in the range 1.8–2 Gy)

The results of conventional fractionation have been comprehensively reviewed and reported. Dose escalation has been shown to improve bRFS in randomised controlled trials (RCT) (64 Gy versus 74 Gy, 68 Gy versus 78 Gy, 70 Gy versus 78 Gy, 70.2 Gy versus 79.2 Gy) as well as meta-analysis.^{4–8,11} Unfortunately, this has not translated into improved overall survival as yet.

There is evidence (Grade B) that doses beyond 80 Gy can now be delivered safely with image-guided intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT).¹ There are no reported randomised trials of higher levels of dose escalation, but results from the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center have shown that the late grade II gastrointestinal toxicity rates of patients treated to 86.4 Gy in fraction sizes of 1.8 Gy was 3%, with <1% developing late grade III gastrointestinal toxicity.¹² Analysis of outcomes from this series showed that the ten-year failure free survival (bNED) was significantly improved by dose escalation: 84% (>75.6 Gy) versus 70% for low-risk disease ($p=0.04$), 76% (>81 Gy) versus 57% for intermediate-risk disease ($p=0.0001$) and 55% (>81 Gy) versus 41% for high-risk patients ($p=0.0001$).¹³ In a multivariate analysis including the use of six-months ADT, a dose >81 Gy ($p=0.027$) and ADT ($p=0.052$) were found to be predictive factors for distant metastasis-free survival, but not overall survival.

Hypofractionation (doses of 2.5 Gy per fraction and above)

Two historical randomised trials which compared hypofractionation (52.5–55 Gy in 20 fractions) with control arms of 60–66 Gy in 33 fractions in 6.5 weeks, doses that, by current standards, are low. The results show a trend towards a lower four-year bNED rate with hypofractionation.^{14,15}

The Christie Hospital has reported their experience using 50 Gy in 16 fractions with a conformal technique. The overall bNED rates at five years were 82% for low grade; 56% for intermediate and 39% for high risk. These outcomes are comparable to those achieved using more protracted regimens (Level 2b) with toxicity greater than or equal to Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) grade 2 in 5% for bladder and 9% for gastrointestinal (GI).^{1,16}

Nearly 8,000 patients have been randomised into completed and ongoing trials of hypofractionation; including the Conventional or Hypofractionated High Dose Intensity Modulated Radiotherapy for Prostate Cancer (CHHiP) trial, the Hypofractionated versus Conventionally Fractionated Radiotherapy for Patients with Localised Prostate Cancer (HYPRO) trial, the Scandinavian-led Phase III Study of HYPOfractionated Radiotherapy of Intermediate Risk Localised Prostate Cancer (HYPO) study and the North American RTOG 0415 study.^{4,17–21} Toxicity of moderate hypofractionation at two-year follow-up (based on physician reported outcomes) was as low as with conventional fractionation in the CHHiP study, which compared 74 Gy in 37 fractions to 60 Gy in 20 fractions and 57 Gy in 19 fractions.⁴ There is a suggestion that equivalent disease-free survival (DFS) can be obtained at the expense of increased genitourinary (GU) or GI toxicity although overall toxicity remains acceptable.^{17,22,23}

Results, in terms of disease control, from three of the hypofractionation trials have now been presented in abstract form. The CHHiP trial showed non-inferiority between 60 Gy in 20 fractions and 74 Gy in 37 fractions; the HYPRO study showed non-inferiority between 78 Gy in 39 fractions and 64.6 Gy in 19 fractions and the RTOG 0415 study showed non-inferiority between 73.8 Gy in 41 fractions and 70 Gy in 28 fractions.²⁴

High-dose-rate (HDR) brachytherapy is an alternative means of delivering hypofractionated radiation as a boost to achieve dose escalation after 45–46 Gy in 1.8–2 Gy daily fractions.²⁵

Profound hypofractionation (defined as 6 Gy per fraction or more) has been shown to be feasible and safe in cohort studies, with high levels of disease control in low-risk patients. The Prostate Advances in Comparative Evidence (PACE) trial is randomising between standard of care (surgery or image-guided intensity-modulated radiotherapy [IG-IMRT]), and stereotactic radiotherapy (36.25 Gy in five fractions); HYPO compares 78 Gy in 39 fractions versus 42.7 Gy in seven fractions and has recruited 1,000 patients in Scandinavia with a target recruitment of 1,920 patients.^{18,26}

Postoperative radiotherapy

There is evidence (Grade A) from three randomised trials, that adjuvant postoperative radiotherapy using 60–64 Gy and 2 Gy per fraction improves recurrence rates in postoperative patients considered to be at high risk of recurrence.^{1,27–29} The optimal timing of postoperative radiotherapy in this group, whether immediate or at first evidence of PSA recurrence, is not known; this and the benefit of adjuvant ADT in the postoperative setting are the two primary questions being addressed in the ongoing Medical Research Council (MRC) Radiotherapy and Androgen Deprivation in Combination After Local Surgery (RADICALS) trial, using either 66 Gy in 33 fractions or 52.5 Gy in 20 fractions.³⁰

Radiotherapy technique

Dose escalation increases the side-effects of treatment. This can be mitigated by using IMRT or arc techniques (volumetric modulated arc therapy [VMAT] or Rapidarc®) to minimise dose to the organs at risk. The role of lymph node irradiation remains uncertain.^{31,32} It is possible to identify patients who have a significant risk of lymph node involvement, but the results of randomised trials to address the value of elective nodal irradiation are equivocal. It may be considered for high-risk patients, recognising that the larger volume is associated with higher toxicity.

IMRT or arc techniques (VMAT or Rapidarc) with appropriate IGRT are the standard of care when delivering high-dose radiation to the prostate. Fiducial markers or cone beam images should be used for verification to minimise interfraction variation.^{33,34}

Recommendations

Radical radiotherapy to the prostate should be delivered using IMRT or arc (VMAT or Rapidarc) techniques with IGRT verification. Acceptable regimens include:

74–78 Gy to the prostate in 37–39 fractions over 7.5 weeks (Grade A)
60 Gy in 20 fractions over 4 weeks (Grade A)

Nodal irradiation:

55–60 Gy in 37 fractions over 7.5 weeks or equivalent (Grade D)

Postoperatively:

66 Gy in 33 fractions over 6.5 weeks or
52.5 Gy in 20 fractions over 4 weeks (Grade C)

The types of evidence and the grading of recommendations used within this review are based on those proposed by the Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine.¹

Palliative radiotherapy

Palliative radiotherapy may be indicated in the event of troublesome haemorrhage, outflow obstruction or pressure symptoms. There is no evidence to guide fractionation.

Recommendations

For palliation standard schedules are used as follows:

21 Gy in 3 fractions, alternate days over 1 week (Grade D)

20 Gy in 5 fractions over 1 week (Grade D)

30 Gy in 10 fractions over 2 weeks (Grade D)

8–10 Gy single dose (Grade D)

The types of evidence and the grading of recommendations used within this review are based on those proposed by the Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine.¹

References

1. www.cebm.net/oxford-centre-evidence-based-medicine-levels-evidence-march-2009 (last accessed 30/9/16)
 2. Schmidt-Hansen M, Hoskin P, Kirkbride P, Hasler E, Bromham N. Hormone and radiotherapy versus hormone or radiotherapy alone for non-metastatic prostate cancer: a systematic review with meta-analyses. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)* 2014; **26**(10): e21–e46.
 3. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Prostate cancer: diagnosis and management. Clinical Guideline. Cardiff: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2014.
 4. Dearnaley DP, Sydes MR, Graham JD *et al*. Escalated-dose versus standard-dose conformal radiotherapy in prostate cancer: first results from the MRC RT01 randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2007; **8**(6): 475–487.
 5. Creak A, Hall E, Eeles R *et al*. Randomised pilot study of dose escalation using conformal radiotherapy in prostate cancer: long-term follow-up. *Br J Cancer* 2013; **109**(3): 651–657.
 6. Peeters ST, Heemsbergen WD, Koper PC *et al*. Dose-response in radiotherapy for localized prostate cancer: results of the Dutch multicenter randomized phase III trial comparing 68 Gy of radiotherapy with 78 Gy. *J Clin Oncol* 2006; **24**(13): 1990–1996.
 7. Pollack A, Zagars GK, Starkschall *et al*. Prostate cancer radiation dose response: results of the M. D. Anderson phase III randomized trial. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2002; **53**(5): 1097–1105.
 8. Michalski JM, Moughan J, Purdy J *et al*. A randomized trial of 79.2 Gy versus 70.2 Gy radiation therapy (RT) for localized prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2015; **33**(suppl 7; abstr 4).
 9. Dasu A, Toma-Dasu I. Prostate alpha/beta revisited – an analysis of clinical results from 14 168 patients. *Acta Oncol* 2012; **51**(8): 963–974.
 10. Proust-Lima C, Taylor JM, Sécher *et al*. Confirmation of a low alpha/beta ratio for prostate cancer treated by external beam radiation therapy alone using a post-treatment repeated-measures model for PSA dynamics. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2011; **79**(1): 195–201.
 11. Viani GA, Stefano EJ, Afonso SL. Higher-than-conventional radiation doses in localized prostate cancer treatment: a meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2009; **74**(5): 1405–1418.
 12. Cahlon O, Zelefsky MJ, Shippy A *et al*. Ultra-high dose (86.4 Gy) IMRT for localized prostate cancer: toxicity and biochemical outcomes. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2008; **71**(2): 330–337.
 13. Zelefsky MJ, Pei X, Chou JF *et al*. Dose escalation for prostate cancer radiotherapy: predictors of long-term biochemical tumor control and distant metastases-free survival outcomes. *Eur Urol* 2011; **60**(6): 1133–1139.
 14. Lukka H, Hayter C, Julian JA *et al*. A randomized trial comparing two fractionation schedules for patients with localized prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2005; **23**(25): 6132–6138.
 15. Yeoh EE, Fraser RJ, McGowan RE *et al*. Evidence for efficacy without increased toxicity of hypofractionated radiotherapy for prostate carcinoma: early results of a Phase III randomized trial. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2003; **55**(4): 943–955.
 16. Livsey JE, Cowan RA, Wylie JP *et al*. Hypofractionated conformal radiotherapy in carcinoma of the prostate: five-year outcome analysis. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2003; **57**(5): 1254–1259.
 17. Aluwini S, Pos G, Schimmel E *et al*. Hypofractionated versus conventionally fractionated radiotherapy for patients with prostate cancer (HYPRO): acute toxicity results from a randomised non-inferiority phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2015; **16**(3): 274–283.
 18. www.controlled-trials.com/ISRCTN45905321 (last accessed 3/10/16)
-

19. Radiation Therapy Oncology Group. RTOG 0415. *A phase III randomized study of hypofractionated 3D-CRT/IMRT versus conventionally fractionated 3D-CRT/IMRT in patients with favorable-risk prostate cancer*. Philadelphia: Radiation Therapy Oncology Group, 2007.
20. Arcangeli S, Strigari L, Gomellini S *et al*. Updated results and patterns of failure in a randomized hypofractionation trial for high-risk prostate cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012; **84**(5): 1172–1178.
21. Pollack A, Walker G, Horwitz EM *et al*. Randomized trial of hypofractionated external-beam radiotherapy for prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2013; **31**(31): 3860–3868.
22. Dearnaley D, Syndikus I, Mossop H *et al*. Five year outcomes of a phase III randomised trial of conventional or hypofractionated high dose intensity modulated radiotherapy for prostate cancer (CRUK /06/16); report from the CHIPP Trial Investigators Group. Vienna: European Cancer Congress, 2015.
23. Incrocci L, Wortel RC, Aluwini S *et al*. Hypofractionated versus conventionally fractionated radiotherapy for prostate cancer: Five-year oncologic outcomes of the Dutch randomized phase 3 HYPRO trial LBA2. Texas: American Society for Radiation Oncology ASTRO, 2015.
24. Lee R, Dignam JJ, Amin M. NRG Oncology/RTOG 0415: a randomized phase 3 noninferiority study comparing 2 fractionation schedules in patients with low-risk prostate cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2016; **96**(2 Supplement): S2–S3.
25. Hoskin PJ, Rojas AM, Bownes PJ, Lowe GJ, Ostler PJ, Bryant L. Randomised trial of external beam radiotherapy alone or combined with high-dose-rate brachytherapy boost for localised prostate cancer. *Radiother Oncol* 2012; **103**(2): 217–222.
26. www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN45905321 (last accessed 3/10/16)
27. Wiegel T, Bottke D, Steiner U *et al*. Phase III postoperative adjuvant radiotherapy after radical prostatectomy compared with radical prostatectomy alone in pT3 prostate cancer with postoperative undetectable prostate-specific antigen: ARO 96-02/AUO AP 09/95. *J Clin Oncol* 2009; **27**(18): 2924–2930.
28. Thompson IM, Tangen CM, Paradelo J *et al*. Adjuvant radiotherapy for pathological T3N0M0 prostate cancer significantly reduces risk of metastases and improves survival: long-term follow-up of a randomized clinical trial. *J Urol* 2009; **181**(3): 956–962.
29. Bolla M, van Poppel H, Tombal B *et al*. Postoperative radiotherapy after radical prostatectomy for high-risk prostate cancer: long-term results of a randomised controlled trial (EORTC trial 22911). *Lancet* 2012; **380**(9858): 2018–2027.
30. Parker C, Sydes MR, Catton C *et al*. Radiotherapy and androgen deprivation in combination after local surgery (RADICALS): a new Medical Research Council/National Cancer Institute of Canada phase III trial of adjuvant treatment after radical prostatectomy. *BJU Int* 2007; **99**(6): 1376–1379.
31. Pommier P, Chabaud S, Lagrange JL *et al*. Is there a role for pelvic irradiation in localized prostate adenocarcinoma? Preliminary results of GETUG-01. *J Clin Oncol* 2007; **25**(34): 5366–5373.
32. Lawton CA, DeSilvio M, Roach M 3rd *et al*. An update of the phase III trial comparing whole pelvic to prostate only radiotherapy and neoadjuvant to adjuvant total androgen suppression: updated analysis of RTOG 94-13, with emphasis on unexpected hormone/radiation interactions. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2007; **69**(3): 646–655.
33. Zelefsky MJ, Kollmeier M, Cox B *et al*. Improved clinical outcomes with high-dose image guided radiotherapy compared with non-IGRT for the treatment of clinically localized prostate cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012; **84**(1): 125–129.
34. Singh J, Greer PB, White MA *et al*. Treatment-related morbidity in prostate cancer: a comparison of 3-dimensional conformal radiation therapy with and without image guidance using implanted fiducial markers. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2013; **85**(4): 1018–1023.