Brain metastases

Background

This is a heterogeneous population of patients with diverse underlying histologies, differences in disease burden outside the central nervous system (CNS) and differing systemic therapy options. Prognostic scores including the disease-specific graded prognostic assessment (dsGPA) may assist in predicting prognosis but are often not in routine clinical use.¹ The management of these patients continues to be variable and individualised and should be coordinated within multidisciplinary teams.

Prognosis from brain metastases has improved in recent years, likely driven by advances in systemic therapy, but continues to be poor for a subgroup of patients.¹ Three important criteria when deciding on management of patients with brain metastases are:

- Prognosis of at least 6 months
- Controlled or treatable extracranial disease
- Karnofsky performance status of at least 70.

Patients who fail to meet these criteria have worse outcomes following irradiation of their intracranial metastatic disease and so may not benefit from treatment. Age may not be a significant prognostic indicator, and older patients may benefit from aggressive treatment of their brain metastases.²

For the purposes of this guidance, stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) is considered equivalent across the commonly used stereotactic platforms of linear accelerators, Gamma Knife and CyberKnife. Though studies exist describing dosimetric differences between these platforms, there is no definitive evidence of a difference in clinical outcomes. The use of systemic therapies with intracranial penetrance is increasing, but their role in the place of, or in conjunction with, radiation therapy is yet to be fully established³ and is outside the scope of this document.

SRS for solitary metastases

Radiation therapy of brain metastases has evolved from whole-brain radiotherapy (WBRT), to SRS with adjuvant WBRT, to SRS alone. Studies have shown SRS alone results in similar overall survival rates and so adjuvant WBRT is typically omitted to avoid associated neurocognitive side-effects.⁴ Lesions larger than 4 cm in diameter are unlikely to be suitable for SRS due to an unacceptable dose of irradiated normal brain tissue and subsequent risk of radionecrosis, although the latest ASTRO guidelines recommend a maximum diameter of no more than 6 cm.⁵ The recently published HyTEC report found that metastases treated in a single fraction with a normal tissue volume (excluding gross tumour volume) receiving more than 12 Gy (V12 Gy) to 5 cm³, 10 cm³ or >15 cm³ resulted in radionecrosis rates of approximately 10%, 15% and 20% respectively.⁶ Therefore, lesions larger than 2–3 cm in diameter, lesions in close proximity to a critical organ at risk or lesions where the V12 Gy is greater than 10 cm³ should be considered for fractionated or staged radiosurgery. One study found improved local control and less radiation necrosis for metastases larger than 2 cm in diameter when treated with a fractionated regimen of 27 Gy in 3 daily fractions compared with a single fraction.⁷



30 Gy in 5 daily fractions is another commonly used hypofractionated regimen,^{8,9} while 35 Gy in 5 daily fractions has also been prospectively evaluated and is sometimes used.¹⁰ Staged radiosurgery is an adaptive approach where large lesions are reimaged, planned and treated at 2- or 3-weekly intervals and is used in place of fractionation at some centres.¹¹

SRS for multiple metastases

Early trials of SRS in brain metastases included patients with up to 3 lesions.¹² Prospective, randomised data now exist to support the use of SRS alone for up to 4 metastases¹³ and prospective, observational data support the use of SRS alone for up to 10 metastases.¹⁴ The use of SRS alone for more than 10 metastases is controversial and not supported by international guidelines due to a lack of evidence.⁵ Trials are currently recruiting to compare overall survival outcomes for patients including those with more than 10 metastases treated with SRS versus hippocampal-sparing WBRT, and results are awaited (trial identifiers NCT03550391, NCT01592968, NCT03075072 and NCT03775330). However NHS clinical commissioning criteria for the use of SRS in brain metastases do not specify a maximum number and instead specify a total intracranial disease volume limit of 20 cm³, ¹⁵ while the European Association of Neuro-Oncology (EANO) recommends a stricter limit of 15 cm³. ¹⁶

Recommendations

Single-fraction SRS:

Lesion diameter:

- <20 mm 21–24 Gy single dose (Grade B)
- 21–30 mm 18 Gy single dose (Grade B)
- 31-40 mm 15 Gy single dose (Grade B) (consider hypofractionated SRS)

Hypofractionated SRS:

- 24-27 Gy in 3 daily fractions (Grade B)
- 30 Gy in 5 daily fractions (Grade B)
- 35 Gy in 5 daily fractions (Grade B)

The types of evidence and the grading of recommendations used within this review are based on those proposed by the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine.¹⁷

WBRT for single or multiple metastases

Patients not suitable for SRS can be considered for WBRT, although certain patient subgroups may not benefit. The Medical Research Council (MRC) QUARTZ trial found those with nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and a limited prognosis had no overall survival or quality-oflife benefit with WBRT over best supportive care.¹⁸ Several randomised trials have compared different radiotherapy regimens for patients with multiple cerebral metastases. Most have used 30 Gy in 10 fractions as the control arm and have compared this regimen with either higher or lower doses.^{19–22} Only one small study of 70 patients has compared the 6-month survival rate after 30 Gy in 10 fractions with that after 20 Gy in 5 fractions, and this found no significant difference.²³ A Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) study reported in



1980 compared three regimens: 40 Gy in 15 fractions, 30 Gy in 10 fractions and 20 Gy in 5 fractions.²⁴ The median survival in all three groups was between 3.2 months and 3.5 months (p>0.05). There is, therefore, no clear evidence that 20 Gy in 5 fractions is inferior to, or better than, 30 Gy in 10 fractions (Level 1b). No improvement in survival has been shown when dose is increased beyond 30 Gy in 10 fractions (Level 1b).

Methods to reduce the risk of neurocognitive decline associated with WBRT include hippocampal sparing, provided no lesion is within close proximity of the hippocampus.²⁵ A randomised controlled study of the concurrent use of the glutamate receptor antagonist memantine did not reach the primary endpoint of reduced decline in cognitive function and so has not received approval from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) in the United Kingdom.²⁶

Recommendations

Whole-brain radiotherapy ± hippocampal sparing:

- 30 Gy in 10 fractions over 2 weeks (Grade A)
- 20 Gy in 5 fractions over 1 week (Grade A)

The types of evidence and the grading of recommendations used within this review are based on those proposed by the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine.¹⁷

Postoperative radiotherapy

Evidence from two randomised trials suggests an overall survival benefit from adding surgery to WBRT for patients of good performance status with a solitary metastasis (Level 1a).^{27,28} Though a third trial did not show an overall survival benefit, this may have been due to the high proportion of poor-performance status patients included.²⁹ Surgical resection without adjuvant WBRT carries twice the risk of cavity recurrence.³⁰ SRS to the surgical cavity has shown similar overall survival rates and less neurocognitive decline than adjuvant WBRT and so is generally preferred.³¹ Preoperative SRS looks to mitigate against the issues of difficulty contouring the surgical cavity and relatively high subsequent focal leptomeningeal rates, and trials have opened in some centres internationally to compare outcomes with adjuvant SRS. Results from these trials are awaited (trial identifiers NCT03741673, NCT04503772).

Currently, SRS to the surgical cavity following complete resection of cerebral metastases is not recommended by NHS England due to a lack of evidence of improvement in overall survival compared with observation alone. However, where there is evidence of residual or recurrent disease, SRS should be considered.

20 Brain metastases

Recommendations

Adjuvant SRS, adapted from various studies: 5,32,33

Cavity volume (cm3)	Equivalent sphere diameter (cm)	Single-fraction SRS dose (Gy)	Level of evidence
<4.2	<2.0	20 Gy	В
≥4.2 to <8.0	≥2.0 to <2.5	18 Gy	В
≥8.0 to <14.4	≥2.5 to <3	17 Gy	В
≥14.4 to <20	≥3 to <3.5	15 Gy (or fractionated SRS)	С
≥20 to <30	≥3.5 to <4	For fractionated SRS	С

The types of evidence and the grading of recommendations used within this review are based on those proposed by the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine.¹⁷

References

- Sperduto PW, Mesko S, Li J et al. Survival in patients with brain metastases: summary report on the updated diagnosis-specific graded prognostic assessment and definition of the eligibility quotient. J Clin Oncol 2020 Nov 10; 38(32): 3773–84.
- 2. Higuchi Y, Yamamoto M, Serizawa T *et al.* Stereotactic radiosurgery in elderly patients with brain metastases: comparison with non-elderly patients using database of a multi-institutional prospective observational study (JLGK0901-Elderly). *J Neurooncol* 2019 Sep 23; **144**(2): 393–402.
- 3. Alvarez-Breckenridge C, Remon J, Piña Y *et al.* Emerging systemic treatment perspectives on brain metastases: moving toward a better outlook for patients. *Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ B* 2022 Apr; **42**: 1–19.
- 4. Brown PD, Jaeckle K, Ballman KV *et al.* Effect of radiosurgery alone vs radiosurgery with whole brain radiation therapy on cognitive function in patients with 1 to 3 brain metastases. *JAMA* 2016 Jul 26; **316**(4): 401.
- Gondi V, Bauman G, Bradfield L et al. Radiation therapy for brain metastases: an ASTRO clinical practice guideline. Pract Radiat Oncol 2022 Jul; 12(4): 265–82.
- 6. Milano MT, Grimm J, Niemierko A *et al*. Single- and multifraction stereotactic radiosurgery dose/volume tolerances of the brain. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2021 May; **110**(1): 68–86.
- 7. Minniti G, Scaringi C, Paolini S *et al.* Single-fraction versus multifraction (3 × 9 Gy) stereotactic radiosurgery for large (≥2 cm) brain metastases: a comparative analysis of local control and risk of radiation-induced brain necrosis. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2016 Jul 15; **95**(4): 1142–8.
- 8. Remick JS, Kowalski E, Khairnar R *et al*. A multi-center analysis of single-fraction versus hypofractionated stereotactic radiosurgery for the treatment of brain metastasis. *Radiat Oncol* 2020 Dec 28; **15**(1): 128.
- Myrehaug S, Hudson J, Soliman H *et al*. Hypofractionated stereotactic radiation therapy for intact brain metastases in 5 daily fractions: effect of dose on treatment response. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2022 Feb; 112(2): 342–50.
- Ernst-Stecken A, Ganslandt O, Lambrecht U, Sauer R, Grabenbauer G. Phase II trial of hypofractionated stereotactic radiotherapy for brain metastases: results and toxicity. *Radiother Oncol* 2006 Oct; 81(1): 18–24.
- Yamamoto M, Higuchi Y, Serizawa T *et al.* Three-stage Gamma Knife treatment for metastatic brain tumors larger than 10 cm³: a 2-institute study including re-analyses of earlier results using competing risk analysis. J Neurosurg 2018 Dec; **129**(Suppl1): 77–85.



- 12. Andrews DW, Scott CB, Sperduto PW *et al*. Whole brain radiation therapy with or without stereotactic radiosurgery boost for patients with one to three brain metastases: phase III results of the RTOG 9508 randomised trial. *Lancet* 2004 May 22; **363**(9422): 1665–72.
- Aoyama H, Shirato H, Tago M *et al.* Stereotactic radiosurgery plus whole-brain radiation therapy vs stereotactic radiosurgery alone for treatment of brain metastases: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2006 Jun 7; 295(21): 2483–91.
- Yamamoto M, Serizawa T, Higuchi Y et al. A multi-institutional prospective observational study of stereotactic radiosurgery for patients with multiple brain metastases (JLGK0901 study update): irradiation-related complications and long-term maintenance of mini-mental state examination scores. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2017 Sep; 99(1): 31–40.
- 15. NHS Commissioning Board Clinical Reference Group for Stereotactic Radiosurgery. Clinical commissioning policy: stereotactic radiosurgery / radiotherapy for cerebral metastases. NHS Commissioning Board, 2013.
- 16. Le Rhun E, Guckenberger M, Smits M *et al*. EANO–ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up of patients with brain metastasis from solid tumours. *Ann Oncol* 2021 Nov; **32**(11): 1332–47.
- www.cebm.ox.ac.uk/resources/levels-of-evidence/oxford-centre-for-evidence-based-medicine-levels-ofevidence-march-2009 (last accessed 28/11/2023).
- Mulvenna P, Nankivell M, Barton R et al. Dexamethasone and supportive care with or without whole brain radiotherapy in treating patients with non-small cell lung cancer with brain metastases unsuitable for resection or stereotactic radiotherapy (QUARTZ): results from a phase 3, non-inferiority, randomised trial. *Lancet* 2016 Oct 22; 388(10055): 2004–2014. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30825-X.
- Chatani M, Teshima T, Hata K, Inoue T, Suzuki T. Whole brain irradiation for metastases from lung carcinoma. Acta Radiol Oncol 1985 Jan 8; 24(4): 311–4.
- Harwood AR, Simpson WJ. Radiation therapy of cerebral metastases: a randomized prospective clinical trial. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1977 Nov; 2(11–12): 1091–4.
- Kurtz JM, Gelber R, Brady LW, Carella RJ, Cooper JS. The palliation of brain metastases in a favorable patient population: A randomized clinical trial by the radiation therapy oncology group. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1981 Jul; 7(7): 891–5.
- 22. Murray KJ, Scott C, Greenberg HM et al. A randomized phase III study of accelerated hyperfractionation versus standard in patients with unresected brain metastases: a report of the radiation therapy oncology group (RTOG) 9104. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1997 Oct; 39(3): 571–4.
- Tsao MN, Rades D, Wirth A et al. Radiotherapeutic and surgical management for newly diagnosed brain metastasis(es): an American Society for Radiation Oncology evidence-based guideline. Pract Radiat Oncol 2012 Jul; 2(3): 210–25.
- 24. Borgelt B, Gelber R, Kramer S et al. The palliation of brain metastases: final results of the first two studies by the radiation therapy oncology group. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1980 Jan; 6(1): 1–9.
- Brown PD, Gondi V, Pugh S et al. Hippocampal avoidance during whole-brain radiotherapy plus memantine for patients with brain metastases: phase III trial NRG Oncology CC001. J Clin Oncol 2020 Apr 1; 38(10): 1019–29.
- Brown PD, Pugh S, Laack NN et al. Memantine for the prevention of cognitive dysfunction in patients receiving whole-brain radiotherapy: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Neuro Oncol 2013 Oct 1; 15(10): 1429–37.
- 27. Patchell RA, Tibbs PA, Walsh JW *et al*. A randomized trial of surgery in the treatment of single metastases to the brain. *N Engl J Med* 1990 Feb 22; **322**(8): 494–500.
- Vecht CJ, Haaxma-Reiche H, Noordijk EM et al. Treatment of single brain metastasis: radiotherapy alone or combined with neurosurgery. Ann Neurol 1993 Jun; 33(6): 583–90.
- 29. Mintz AH, Kestle J, Rathbone MP *et al*. A randomized trial to assess the efficacy of surgery in addition to radiotherapy in patients with a single cerebral metastasis. *Cancer* 1996 Oct 1; **78**(7): 1470–6.
- 30. Patchell RA, Tibbs PA, Regine WF *et al*. Postoperative radiotherapy in the treatment of single metastases to the brain: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 1998 Nov 4; **280**(17): 1485–9.



- 31. Brown PD, Ballman KV, Cerhan JH *et al.* Postoperative stereotactic radiosurgery compared with whole brain radiotherapy for resected metastatic brain disease (NCCTG N107C/CEC·3): a multicentre, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2017 Aug; **18**(8): 1049–60.
- 32. Minniti G, Esposito V, Clarke E *et al.* Multidose stereotactic radiosurgery (9 Gy × 3) of the postoperative resection cavity for treatment of large brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2013 Jul; **86**(4): 623–9.
- 33. Keller A, Doré M, Cebula H *et al*. Hypofractionated stereotactic radiation therapy to the resection bed for intracranial metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2017 Dec; **99**(5): 1179–89.

Acknowledgements

With thanks to lead authors Dr Michael Kosmin (University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust) and Dr Hamoun Rozati (Barts Cancer Centre, Barts Health NHS Trust) for reviewing and updating this chapter of the guidance.