# 06

# **Gynaecological cancers**

## Cervix cancer

## **Background**

Patients presenting with small-volume International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) Stages IB1 and IIA disease can be treated either by radical hysterectomy and lymphadenectomy or radical radiotherapy as primary procedures. The two approaches have equivalent survival rates (Level 1b).<sup>1,2</sup>

The combination of surgery and radiotherapy increases morbidity and should be avoided if possible.<sup>1,3</sup> Postoperative chemoradiotherapy is indicated for patients with poor prognostic features discovered at surgery (positive nodes, positive margins or extensive lymphovascular space involvement) (Level 1b).<sup>2–4</sup>

Local control and survival are increased by the addition of concomitant chemotherapy in all stages, although the benefit may be smaller when only one node is positive or when the tumour size is <2 centimetres (cm) (Level 1b).<sup>2-11</sup>

Randomised studies of radiotherapy have used fractionation regimens of 40–50.4 Gray (Gy) in daily 1.8–2 Gy fractions over 4–5.5 weeks (Level 1b).<sup>1–3,12,13</sup> Both early and late toxicity are increased when chemotherapy is added (Level 1b).<sup>2,12,14</sup>

Overall treatment time, including intracavitary brachytherapy (ICBT), should not exceed 56 days for squamous carcinoma (Level 1b).<sup>2,15–19</sup> Haemoglobin levels during treatment are prognostic, with the best outcomes in those whose haemoglobin remains greater than 12 grams per decilitre (g/dl; 120 g/l) throughout treatment (Level 2b).<sup>2,20</sup>

Parametrial disease can be encompassed within the brachytherapy dose envelope using a combination of interstitial brachytherapy (ISBT) and ICBT (Level 2b).<sup>2</sup> Boosting parametrial disease conventionally with three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy (3D-CRT) or parallel opposed fields with midline blocking does not usually allow organs at risk (OAR) constraints to be met and is not recommended (Level 1b).<sup>2,21,22</sup>

Evidence from cohort series supports the use of image-guided brachytherapy (IGBT) to reduce late toxicities and facilitate delivery of >85 Gy (combined external beam and brachytherapy equivalent dose in 2 Gy per fraction [EQD2]). <sup>23,24</sup> Dose constraints to OAR have been published based on organ volume rather than point doses (Level 2b). <sup>2,25</sup> These doses can only be achieved within normal tissue constraints when doses of <50 Gy are delivered by external beam radiotherapy (EBRT).

There is no evidence to support the routine use of adjuvant chemotherapy following primary chemoradiotherapy. The OUTBACK trial (cisplatin and radiation therapy with or without carboplatin and paclitaxel in patients with locally advanced cervical cancer)<sup>26</sup> failed to demonstrate an improvement in either overall survival or progression-free survival in keeping with earlier studies. In contrast, however, the INTERLACE study recently presented in abstract form suggests there may be an advantage for selected patients, which may change this view once the full results are published.<sup>27</sup>



### **Treatment technique**

The clinical target volume (CTV) for treating pelvic malignancy normally encompasses the lymphatic drainage of the cervix pelvis including the internal, external and common iliac nodes and presacral nodes. This may be extended further, depending on the extent and type of malignancy, to include the para-aortic nodes, the inguinal nodes or the vagina.<sup>28</sup>

Nodal atlases have been developed to assist in the outlining of the female pelvis.<sup>29,30</sup> Significantly less toxicity is seen if EBRT is delivered using intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) or volumetric-modulated arc therapy (VMAT) rather than 3D-CRT (Level 2b).<sup>2,31</sup>

## Recommendations

## Definitive primary treatment

#### **External beam radiotherapy:**

- 45 Gy in 25 fractions over 5 weeks (Grade A)
- 50.4 Gy in 28 fractions over 5.5 weeks (Grade A)
- Delivered with weekly concurrent cisplatin 40 mg/m² (Grade A)

#### Involved pelvic and para-aortic lymph nodes should receive:

• 55–60 Gy in 25–28 fractions over 5.5 weeks using a simultaneous integrated boost (Grade C)

#### Brachytherapy<sup>32</sup>

The high total doses required of 85–90 Gy to the high-risk CTV (HR-CTV) D90 are achieved by adding to the external beam schedules above:

- High-dose rate (HDR) brachytherapy 28 Gy in 4 fractions (Grade B)
- For small-volume tumours (<30 ml) a 3-fraction schedule may be considered (7.7 Gy  $\times$  3)

Overall treatment time, including brachytherapy, should be no more than 56 days for squamous cancers (Level 1b)

## Postoperative external beam:

- 45 Gy in 25 fractions over 5 weeks (Grade A)
- 50.4 Gy in 28 fractions over 5.5 weeks (Grade A)
- Delivered with weekly concurrent cisplatin 40 milligrams per metre squared (mg/m²) (Grade A)

The types of evidence and the grading of recommendations used within this review are based on those proposed by the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine.<sup>2</sup>



## **Endometrial cancer**

## Adjuvant therapy in operable disease

The majority of patients present with organ-confined disease and surgery is the primary treatment.

Trials of pelvic radiotherapy consistently show a reduction in local recurrences but no overall survival benefit.<sup>33–36</sup> The vaginal brachytherapy versus pelvic external beam radiotherapy for patients with endometrial cancer of high–intermediate risk (PORTEC 2) trial showed equivalent outcome for patients with some intermediate risk features who received either adjuvant vaginal brachytherapy (VBT) or EBRT.<sup>35</sup> The long-term pelvic side-effects in the brachytherapy group were less than with external beam.

The PORTEC 3 trial has investigated the benefit of concurrent chemoradiotherapy and adjuvant chemotherapy compared with adjuvant radiotherapy alone, which has been the current standard of care. This shows an advantage for the combined approach in Stage III and serous histology after hysterectomy.<sup>36</sup>

A more sophisticated approach using molecular classification of the tumour has been proposed and is under evaluation in clinical trials but as yet the evidence is not sufficiently robust to be considered a standard of care.

## Recommendations

### **High-risk patients**

#### Postoperative adjuvant EBRT:

- 46 Gy in 23 fractions over 4.5 weeks (Grade A)
- 45 Gy in 25 fractions over 5 weeks (Grade A)
- 48.6 Gy in 27 fractions over 5.5 weeks (Grade A)
- Stage III patients should receive chemoradiation with cisplatin followed by adjuvant carboplatin and paclitaxel (Grade A)

Vault brachytherapy may follow the above schedules in patients with cervical involvement although there is no strong evidence base for this practice:

• HDR: 8 Gy at 5 mm in 2 fractions (Level 1b)

## **Intermediate-risk patients**

#### Vaginal vault brachytherapy:

• HDR: 21 Gy at 5 mm in 3 fractions over 2–3 weeks (Grade A)

The types of evidence and the grading of recommendations used within this review are based on those proposed by the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine.<sup>2</sup>



### Definitive radiotherapy for inoperable disease

Endometrial carcinoma may be inoperable because of medical co-morbidity or advanced disease stage. Accurate staging can be achieved using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Radiotherapy can control Stages I and II disease and may have a role in more advanced cases (Level 2a).<sup>37,38</sup>

## Recommendations

## **Brachytherapy alone**

#### HDR:

- 36 Gy in 5 fractions (Grade C) prescribed to the uterine serosa
- 37.5 Gy in 6 fractions (Grade C) prescribed to the uterine serosa

## **Combination therapy**

#### External beam:

- 45 Gy in 25 fractions over 5 weeks (Grade C)
- 50 Gy in 25 fractions over 5 weeks (Grade C)

### **Brachytherapy:**

#### HDR:

- 28 Gy in 4 fractions (Grade C) prescribed to the uterine serosa
- 25 Gy in 5 fractions (Grade C) prescribed to the uterine serosa

The types of evidence and the grading of recommendations used within this review are based on those proposed by the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine.<sup>2</sup>

## **Endometrial carcinoma: salvage**

Recurrent uterine corpus carcinoma in a previously unirradiated pelvis can be treated, and sometimes salvaged, with radiotherapy (external beam alone, external beam combined with brachytherapy or brachytherapy alone). Data of any sort are sparse, with no randomised trials. Doses of greater than 60 Gy EQD2 including brachytherapy should be delivered, provided rectal and bladder constraints are respected (Level 2c). 39,40

## Vulva

## Adjuvant therapy in operable disease

For those with operable vulval cancer, surgical resection of the primary with inguinal lymphadenectomy remains the treatment of choice.<sup>41</sup>

Adjuvant radiotherapy may be considered for those with positive resection margins, two or more positive lymph nodes or any extracapsular spread. Concurrent chemotherapy with



cisplatin is used, but without a strong evidence base to support it (Grade C). The Gronigen International Study on Sentinel Nodes in Vulvar Cancer (GROINSS-II) compared surgery with either definitive radical radiotherapy or radical chemoradiotherapy where sentinel lymph node metastases <2 mm were detected. 42 Inguinofemoral radiotherapy to a dose of 50 Gy is a safe alternative to inguinofemoral lymphadenectomy for micrometastases <2 mm but inguinofemoral lymphadenectomy is recommended for macrometastases (Grade C).

## Recommendations

Postoperative radiotherapy to vulva, pelvic and inguinal nodes:

- 45 Gy in 25 fractions over 5 weeks (Grade C)
- 50 Gy in 25 fractions over 5 weeks (Grade C)

The types of evidence and the grading of recommendations used within this review are based on those proposed by the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine.<sup>2</sup>

## Inoperable vulval carcinoma

Data in this area are sparse with no randomised studies. Potential therapeutic options include definitive chemoradiotherapy, treating the primary and regional nodes. Consideration should then be given to surgical removal of residual disease or a second phase of radiotherapy with electrons or brachytherapy.<sup>43</sup>

## Recommendations

**Inoperable vulval cancer:** 

- 45 Gy in 25 fractions over 5 weeks (Grade C)
- 50 Gy in 25 fractions over 5 weeks (Grade C)
- 50.4 Gy in 28 fractions over 5.5 weeks (Grade C)
- EBRT may be given with weekly cisplatin 40 mg/m² (Grade C)
- The primary and involved nodes should be boosted using a simultaneous integrated boost (SIB) with VMAT or brachytherapy to deliver a total dose of 60–68 Gy EQD2<sup>44</sup> (Grade C)

The types of evidence and the grading of recommendations used within this review are based on those proposed by the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine.<sup>2</sup>

# Vaginal carcinoma

The rarity of vaginal carcinoma has led to therapy recommendations being derived from single-institution series accrued over many years and extrapolation from cervical carcinoma data with no randomised trials. Therapy with EBRT in combination with either ISBT or ICBT is accepted practice, with doses of 70–80 Gy EQD2 appearing to confer survival advantage (Level 4).<sup>45</sup> The addition of concurrent chemotherapy appears to deliver a survival advantage (Level 4).<sup>46,47</sup>



## Recommendations

Definitive therapy of vaginal carcinoma:

• 45–50 Gy in 25 fractions over 5 weeks (Grade C)

Followed by HDR brachytherapy: note the lower vagina is less tolerant of very high doses. A total EQD2 dose of 70–80 Gy should be the aim:

- Upper vagina: 24–28 Gy in 4 fractions (Grade C)
- Lower vagina: 18.75–20 Gy in 5 fractions (Grade C)

The types of evidence and the grading of recommendations used within this review are based on those proposed by the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine.<sup>2</sup>

## References

- 1. Landoni F, Maneo A, Colombo A *et al.* Randomised study of radical surgery versus radiotherapy for stage lb—lla cervical cancer. *Lancet* 1997; **350**(9077): 535–540.
- www.cebm.ox.ac.uk/resources/levels-of-evidence/oxford-centre-for-evidence-based-medicine-levels-of-evidence-march-2009 (last accessed 28/11/2023).
- 3. Sedlis A, Bundy BN, Rotman MZ, et al. A randomized trial of pelvic radiation therapy versus no further therapy in selected patients with stage IB carcinoma of the cervix after radical hysterectomy and pelvic lymphadenectomy: a Gynecologic Oncology Group Study. *Gynaecol Oncol* 1999; **73**(2): 177–183.
- 4. Chemoradiotherapy for Cervical Cancer Meta-analysis Collaboration (CCCMAC). Reducing uncertainties about the effects of chemoradiotherapy for cervical cancer: individual patient data meta-analysis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010; 1: CD009285.
- 5. Whitney CW, Sause W, Bundy BN et al. Randomized comparison of fluorouracil plus cisplatin versus hydroxyurea as an adjunct to radiation therapy in stage IIB–IVA carcinoma of the cervix with negative paraaortic lymph nodes: a Gynecologic Oncology Group and Southwest Oncology Group study. *J Clin Oncol* 1999; 17(5): 1339–1348.
- 6. Rose PG, Bundy BN, Watkins EB et al. Concurrent cisplatin-based radiotherapy and chemotherapy for locally advanced cervical cancer. N Engl J Med 1999; **340**(15): 1144–1153. [erratum in N Engl J Med 1999; **341**(9): 708]
- 7. Morris M, Eifel PJ, Lu J *et al*. Pelvic radiation with concurrent chemotherapy compared with pelvic and para-aortic radiation for high-risk cervical cancer. *N Engl J Med* 1999; **340**(15): 1137–1143.
- 8. Keys HM, Bundy BN, Stehman FB *et al.* Cisplatin, radiation, and adjuvant hysterectomy compared with radiation and adjuvant hysterectomy for bulky stage IB cervical carcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 1999; **340**(15):1154–1161. [erratum in *N Engl J Med* 1999; **341**(9): 708].
- 9. Thomas GM. Improved treatment for cervical cancer: concurrent chemotherapy and radiotherapy. *N Engl J Med* 1999; **340**(15): 1198–1200.
- 10. Rose PG, Bundy BN. Chemoradiation for locally advanced cervical cancer: does it help? *J Clin Oncol* 2002; **20**(4): 891–893.
- 11. Eifel PJ, Winter K, Morris M et al. Pelvic irradiation with concurrent chemotherapy versus pelvic and paraaortic irradiation for high-risk cervical cancer: an update of radiation therapy oncology group trial (RTOG) 90-01. J Clin Oncol 2004; 22(5): 872–880.
- 12. Peters WA 3rd, Liu PY, Barrett RJ 2nd et al. Concurrent chemotherapy and pelvic radiation therapy compared with pelvic radiation therapy alone as adjuvant therapy after radical surgery in high-risk early-stage cancer of the cervix. *J Clin Oncol* 2000; **18**(8): 1606–1613.



- 13. Monk BJ, Wang J, Im S et al. Rethinking the use of radiation and chemotherapy after radical hysterectomy: a clinical-pathologic analysis of a Gynecologic Oncology Group/Southwest Oncology Group/Radiation Therapy Oncology Group trial. *Gynecol Oncol* 2005; **96**(3): 721–728.
- 14. Vale CL, Tierney JF, Davidson SE, Drinkwater KJ, Symonds P. Substantial improvement in UK cervical cancer survival with chemoradiotherapy: results of a Royal College of Radiologists' audit. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)* 2010; **22**(7): 590–601.
- 15. The Royal College of Radiologists. *The timely delivery of radical radiotherapy: standards and guidelines for the management of unscheduled treatment interruptions,* third edition. London: The Royal College of Radiologists, 2008.
- 16. Chatani M, Makayoshi Y, Masaki N, Inoue T. High-dose rate intracavitary irradiation for carcinoma of the uterine cervix. The adverse effect of treatment prolongation. *Strahlenther Onkol* 1997; **73**(7): 379–384.
- 17. Perez CA, Grigsby PW, Castro-Vita H, Lockett MA. Carcinoma of the uterine cervix part I: impact of prolongation of overall treatment time and timing of brachytherapy on outcome of radiation therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1999; **32**(5): 1275–1288.
- 18. Fyles A, Keane TJ, Barton M, Simm J. The effect of treatment duration in the local control of cervix cancer. *Radiother Oncol* 1992; **25**(4): 273–279.
- 19. Delaloye JF, Coucke PA, Pampallona S, De Grandi P. Effect of total treatment time on event-free survival in carcinoma of the cervix. *Gynecol Oncol* 1996; **60**(1): 42–48.
- 20. Winter WE 3rd, Maxwell GL, Tian C *et al.* Association of hemoglobin level with survival in cervical carcinoma patients treated with concurrent cisplatin and radiotherapy: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. *Gynecologic Oncol* 2004; **94**(2): 495–501.
- 21. Mohamed S, Kallehauge J, Fokdal L, Lindegaard JC, Tanderup K. Parametrial boosting in locally advanced cervical cancer: combined intracavitary/interstitial brachytherapy versus intracavitary brachytherapy plus external beam radiotherapy. *Brachytherapy* 2015; **14**(1): 23–28.
- 22. Huang E-Y, Lin H, Hsu HC *et al*. High external parametrial dose can increase the probability of radiation proctitis in patients with uterine cervix cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 2000; **79**(3): 406–410.
- 23. Mazeron R, Castelnau-Marchand P, Dumas I *et al.* Impact of treatment time and dose escalation on local control in locally advanced cervical cancer treated by chemoradiation and image-guided pulsed-dose rate adaptive brachytherapy. *Radiother Oncol* 2015; **114**(2): 257–263.
- 24. Rijkmans EC, Nout RA, Rutten IH et al. Improved survival of patients with cervical cancer treated with image-guided brachytherapy compared with conventional brachytherapy. *Gynecol Oncol* 2014; **135**(2): 231–238.
- 25. Potter R, Georg P, Dimopoulos JC *et al.* Clinical outcome of protocol based image (MRI) guided adaptive brachytherapy combined with 3D conformal radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy in patients with locally advanced cervical cancer. *Radiother Oncol* 2001; **100**(1): 116–123.
- 26. Mileshkin LR, Moore KN, Barnes EH *et al.* Adjuvant chemotherapy following chemoradiotherapy as primary treatment for locally advanced cervical cancer versus chemoradiotherapy alone (OUTBACK): an international, open-label, randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2023; **24**: 468–482.
- 27. McCormack M, Gallardo Rincón D, Eminowicz G et al. A randomised phase III trial of induction chemotherapy followed by chemoradiation compared with chemoradiation alone in locally advanced cervical cancer: The GCIG INTERLACE trial. *Ann Oncol* 2023; **34**: supplement 2, s1276.
- 28. Yap ML, Cuartero J, Yan J et al. The role of elective para-aortic lymph node irradiation in patients with locally advanced cervical cancer. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 2014; 26(12): 797–803.
- 29. Taylor A, Rockall AG, Powell ME. An atlas of the pelvic lymph node regions to aid radiotherapy target volume definitions. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)* 2007; **19**(7): 542–550.
- 30. Small W Jr, Mell LK, Anderson P et al. Consensus guidelines for delineation of the clinical target volume for intensity-modulated pelvic radiotherapy in the postoperative treatment of endometrial and cervical cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2008; **71**(2): 428–434.
- 31. Hasselle MD, Rose BS, Kochanski JD *et al.* Clinical outcomes of intensity-modulated pelvic radiation therapy for carcinoma of the cervix. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2011; **80**(5): 1436–1445.



- 32. www.icru.org/report/icru-report-89-prescribing-recording-and-reporting-brachytherapy-for-cancer-of-thecervix
- 33. ASTEC study group, Kitchener H, Swart AM *et al.* Efficacy of systematic pelvic lymphadenectomy in endometrial cancer (MRC ASTEC trial): a randomised study. *Lancet* 2009; **373**(9658): 125–136.
- 34. Creutzberg CL, Nout RA, Lybeert ML *et al.* Fifteen-year radiotherapy outcomes of the randomised PORTEC-1 trial for endometrial carcinoma. *Int J Rad Oncol Biol Phys* 2011; **81**(4): e631–e638.
- 35. Keys HM, Roberts JA, Brunetto VL *et al.* A phase III trial of surgery with or without adjunctive external pelvic radiation therapy in intermediate risk endometrial adenocarcinoma: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. *Gynecol Oncol* 2004; **92**(3): 744–751.
- 36. Nout RA, Smit VT, Putter H *et al.* Vaginal brachytherapy versus pelvic external beam radiotherapy for patients with endometrial cancer of high-intermediate risk (PORTEC-2): an open-label, non-inferiority, randomised trial. *Lancet* 2010; **375**(9717): 816–823.
- 37. de Boer SM, Powell ME, Mileshkin L *et al.* PORTEC study group: adjuvant chemoradiotherapy versus radiotherapy alone for women with high-risk endometrial cancer (PORTEC-3): final results of an international, open-label, multicentre, randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2018; **19**(3): 295–309.
- 38. Churn M, Jones B. Primary radiotherapy for carcinoma of the endometrium using external beam radiotherapy and single line source brachytherapy. *Clin Oncol* 1999; **11**(4): 255–262.
- 39. Gill BS, Kim H, Houser C et al. Image-based three-dimensional conformal brachytherapy for medically inoperable endometrial carcinoma. *Brachytherapy* 2014; **13**(6): 542–547.
- 40. Vargo JA, Kim H, Houser CJ *et al.* Definitive salvage for vaginal recurrence of endometrial cancer: the impact of modern intensity-modulated-radiotherapy with image-based HDR brachytherapy and the interplay of the PORTEC 1 risk stratification. *Radiother Oncol* 2014; **113**(1): 126–131.
- 41. Jereczek-Fossa B, Badzio A, Jassem J. Recurrent endometrial cancer after surgery alone: results of salvage radiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2000; **48**(2); 405–413.
- 42. Van der Velden J, Fons G, Lawrie TA. Primary groin irradiation versus primary groin surgery for early vulval cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011; **5**: CD002224.
- 43. Oonk MHM, Slomovitz B, Baldwin PJW *et al.* Radiotherapy versus inguinofemoral lymphadenectomy as treatment for vulvar cancer patients with micrometastases in the sentinel node: results of GROINSS-V II. *J Clin Oncol* 2021: **39**; 3623–3632.
- 44. Moore DH, Ali S, Koh WJ et al. A phase II trial of radiation therapy and weekly cisplatin chemotherapy for the treatment of locally-advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva: a gynaecological oncology group study. *Gynecol Oncol* 2012; **124**(3): 529–533.
- 45. Richman AH, Vargo JA, Ling DC *et al.* Dose-escalated intensity modulated radiation therapy in patients with locally-advanced vulvar cancer: does it increase response rate? *Gynecol Oncol* 2020; **159**: 657–662.
- 46. Schmid MA, Fokdal L, Westerveld H *et al.* Recommendations from gynaecological (GYN) GEC-ESTRO working group ACROP: target concept for image guided adaptive brachytherapy in primary vaginal cancer. *Radiother Oncol* 2020; **145**: 36–44.
- 47. Rajagopalan MS, Xu KM, Lin JF, Sukumvanich P, Krivak TC, Beriwal S. Adoption and impact of concurrent chemoradiation therapy for vaginal cancer: a National Cancer Data Base (NCDB) Study. *Gynecol Oncol* 2014; **135**(3): 495–502.

# Acknowledgements

With thanks to lead authors Dr Emma Hudson (Velindre University NHS Trust) and Prof Peter Hoskin (Mount Vernon Cancer Centre) for reviewing and updating this chapter of the guidance.